Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/595,596	RODGERS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Darren W. Ark	3643	
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>After Allowance</u>		
(1) <u>Darren W. Ark</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Andrew N. Parfomak</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>3 February 2009</u>	Time : <u>10:00am EST</u>		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A			
Claims discussed: N/A			
Prior art documents discussed: Prior art of record			
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet			
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa 	ne examiner will provide a written record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview	
/Darren W. Ark/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3643	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)	

Application No. 10/595,596

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner stated that the length of the Abstract filed on 04/28/2006 extended to more than one page and that the language would need to be shortened such that it is a single paragraph on one page. Examiner proposed a new abstract to meet the requirements of MPEP 608.01(b). Applicant agreed with the proposed amendment to the Abstract. Please see the Examiner's Amendment for details.